

# OCCURRENCE OF SHIKIMIC AND QUINIC ACIDS IN ANGIOSPERMS

SEIICHI YOSHIDA, KIYOSHI TAZAKI and TAKAO MINAMIKAWA

Department of Biology, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 158, Japan

(Received 19 May 1974)

**Key Word Index**—Angiosperms; quinic acid; shikimic acid; alicyclic acid metabolism; chemotaxonomy.

**Abstract**—Leaves of 83 angiosperms have been made surveyed for quinic and shikimic acids. The quinic acid content was higher in woody dicotyledons than in herbaceous dicotyledons or in the monocotyledons, substantiating the view that its presence may be correlated with the lignification in plants.

## INTRODUCTION

A preliminary report [1, 2] from this laboratory on the occurrence of quinic acid in the lower cormophytes has clearly shown that it is only found in the ferns, suggesting that it is particularly characteristic of the vascular plants. However, the literature on its occurrence in monocotyledons is scanty, although its distribution in gymnosperms and some dicotyledons has been investigated [3, 4].

Present study was undertaken to determine the occurrence of quinic and the related shikimic acid in angiosperms, especially in monocotyledonous plants.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results are presented in Tables 1–3. They show (Table 1) that quinic is actually present in a large number of monocotyledons, although the concentration is low. This contrasts with the acid patterns in gymnosperms and ferns, where high concentrations are present. Similar results were obtained from herbaceous dicotyledons in which the ratio of quinic to shikimic is about 3·2 (Table 2). This similarity is to be expected, since monocotyledons are also predominantly herbaceous.

These results confirm that there is a correlation between the quinic acid content and lignification [4]. In woody dicotyledons, the alicyclic acid pattern was quite different from herbaceous plants and was similar to that of gymnosperms; the ratio of quinic to shikimic was high (average 13·8) (Table 3).

Table 1. Alicyclic acid contents (mg/g fr. wt) in monocotyledons

Plant source	Shikimic	Quinic
Orchidaceae		
<i>Calanthe discolor</i> Lindl.	0	0
Cannaceae		
<i>Canna generalis</i> Bailey	0·11	0·04
Zingiberaceae		
<i>Zingiber officinale</i> Rosc.	trace	0
<i>Z. mioga</i> Rosc.	trace	trace
Iridaceae		
<i>Gladiolus gandavensis</i> Van Houtt.	0	0
<i>Iris pseudacorus</i> L.	0·14	0·43
Dioscoreaceae		
<i>Dioscorea japonica</i> Thunb.	0·08	0·09
Amaryllidaceae		
<i>Crinum asiaticum</i> L. var.		
<i>japonicum</i> Baker	0	0
<i>Agave americana</i> L. var.		
<i>variegata</i> Nicholson	0	0
<i>Zephyranthes candida</i> Herb.	0	0
Liliaceae		
<i>Rohdea japonica</i> Roth	trace	0·03
<i>Scilla chinensis</i> Benth.	trace	0
<i>Aloe arborescens</i> Mill. var.	0	0·03
<i>natalensis</i> Berger		
<i>Liriope graminifolia</i> Baker	0·11	0·06
<i>Cordyline terminalis</i> Kunth	trace	0·01
<i>Sansevieria trifasciata</i> Prain	0	0
<i>Allium tuberosum</i> Rottl.	0	0
<i>Chlorophytum comosum</i> Baker	trace	0·01
<i>Aspidistra elatior</i> Blume	0·01	0·24
<i>Yucca recurvifolia</i> Salisb.	0	0·04
Pontederiaceae		
<i>Eichhornia crassipes</i> Solms	0·42	trace
Commelinaceae		
<i>Commelina communis</i> L.	0·28	0·01
<i>Rhoeo discolor</i> Hance	trace	0
<i>Kniphofia uvaria</i> Hook.	0·05	0·01
<i>Zebrina pendula</i> Schnizl.	0	0
Bromeliaceae		
<i>Ananas comosus</i> Merr.	0	trace

Table 1. *Continued*

Plant source	Shikimic	Quinic
Araceae		
<i>Richardia africana</i> Kunth	0	0.01
<i>Colocasia antiquorum</i> Schott var. <i>esculenta</i> Engl.	trace	0
Palmae		
<i>Trachycarpus excelsus</i> H. Wendl.	0.05	0.51
<i>Rhapis humilis</i> Blume	0.12	0.67
Cyperaceae		
<i>Cyperus microiria</i> Steud.	0.02	0.01
Gramineae		
<i>Miscanthus sinensis</i> Anderss.	0.03	0.29
<i>Coix lacryma-jobi</i> L.	0.06	0.07
<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.	0.02	0.04
<i>Setaria viridis</i> Beauv.	trace	0.01
<i>Digitaria adscendens</i> Henr.	0.01	0.02
<i>Pleioblastus Chino</i> Makino	0.04	0.03

Table 2. Alicyclic acid contents (mg/g fr. wt) in herbaceous dicotyledons

Plant source	Shikimic	Quinic
Compositae		
<i>Helianthus tuberosus</i> L.	trace	0.07
<i>Taraxacum albidum</i> Dahlst.	0	0
Plantaginaceae		
<i>Plantago asiatica</i> L.	0	0
Gesneriaceae		
<i>Saintpaulia ionantha</i> Wendl.	0	0
Solanaceae		
<i>Petunia hybrida</i> Hort.	0	0
Convolvulaceae		
<i>Pharbitis nil</i> Choisy	0	0.13
Primulaceae		
<i>Primula sieboldi</i> E. Morr.	0	0
Begoniaceae		
<i>Begonia evansiana</i> Andr.	0	0
Violaceae		
<i>Viola tricolor</i> L.	0	0
Euphorbiaceae		
<i>Ricinus communis</i> L.	0.18	0.32
Oxalidaceae		
<i>Oxalis corniculata</i> L.	0	0.05
Leguminosae		
<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L.	0.01	0.05
<i>Trifolium repens</i> L.	0	0.03
Rosaceae		
<i>Fragaria chiloensis</i> Duch. var. <i>ananassa</i> Bailey	0.04	1.38
Saxifragaceae		
<i>Saxifraga stolonifera</i> Meerb.	0.62	1.34
Crassulaceae		
<i>Kalanchoe blossfeldiana</i> von Poelln.	0	trace
<i>Sedum kamtschaticum</i> Hort.	trace	0.02
Cruciferae		
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. var. <i>acephala</i> DC.	0	0.32
<i>Hesperis matronalis</i> L.	trace	0.19
Papaveraceae		
<i>Macreya cordata</i> R. Br.	0.41	0.20

Table 2. *Continued*

Plant source	Shikimic	Quinic
Nymphaeaceae		
<i>Nymphaea tetragona</i> Georgi var. <i>angusta</i> Casp. subvar. <i>orientalis</i> Casp.	0.22	0.25
Nyctaginaceae		
<i>Mirabilis jalapa</i> L.	0	0
Chenopodiaceae		
<i>Spinacia oleracea</i> L.	0	0

Table 3. Alicyclic acid contents (mg/g fr. wt) in woody dicotyledons

Plant source	Shikimic	Quinic
Caprifoliaceae		
<i>Viburnum awabuki</i> K. Koch	0.06	2.40
Rubiaceae		
<i>Gardenia jasminoides</i> Ellis f. <i>grandiflora</i> Makino	0.06	1.43
Oleaceae		
<i>Jasminum officinale</i> L. var. <i>grandiflorum</i> Kobuski	trace	0.03
<i>Osmanthus ilicifolius</i> Mouill.	0.01	0.73
<i>Forsythia suspensa</i> Vahl	0.01	0.06
<i>Ligustrum japonicum</i> Thunb.	0.01	0.06
Ericaceae		
<i>Rhododendron mucronatum</i> G. Don	0.08	2.05
<i>R. Metternichii</i> Sieb. et Zucc.	0.03	8.28
Araliaceae		
<i>Fatsia japonica</i> Decne. et Planch.	0.39	9.60
<i>Hedera rhombea</i> Bean	0.30	0.78
Thymelaeaceae		
<i>Daphne odora</i> Thunb.	0	0.10
Aquifoliaceae		
<i>Ilex integra</i> Thunb.	0.01	0.67
Euphorbiaceae		
<i>Daphniphyllum macropodum</i> Miq.	0.03	2.88
Leguminosae		
<i>Cytisus scoparius</i> Link	0.03	0.03
Rosaceae		
<i>Prunus yedoensis</i> Matsum.	0.01	0.10
<i>Rosa multiflora</i> Thunb.	0.36	2.52
Platanaceae		
<i>Platanus orientalis</i> L.	1.93	8.00
Saxifragaceae		
<i>Hydrangea macrophylla</i> Ser. var. <i>otaksa</i> Makino	0.11	1.37
Berberidaceae		
<i>Mahonia japonica</i> DC.	0.01	1.37
Moraceae		
<i>Ficus elastica</i> Roxb.	0.02	0.58
<i>Morus bombycis</i> Koidz.	0.02	0.27
Fagaceae		
<i>Quercus acutissima</i> Carruth.	0.16	8.10
Salicaceae		
<i>Salix babylonica</i> L.	0.03	0.02

Table 4. Average amounts (mg/g fr. wt) of alicyclic acids in angiosperms

	Numbers of species tested	Shikimic acid	Quinic acid	Ratio Quinic:shikimic
Monocots	37	0.04	0.07	1.8
Dicots				
Woody	23	0.16	2.21	13.8
Herb	23	0.06	0.19	3.2

These results are summarized in Table 4. The following trends are clear: shikimic and quinic acids occur singly or together in most species, irrespective of their contents; and herbaceous plants have low concentrations, while woody plants are rich in these acids.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

*Plant materials.* Leaves were collected in the campus of Tokyo Metropolitan University in Aug and Sept 1973, at which time the content of alicyclic acids was reasonably stable.

*Isolation and determination of alicyclic acids.* Usually 10–20 g of fr. leaves were extracted 3 × with 100 ml of hot 80% aq. EtOH. The combined extract was conc *in vacuo*, the remaining residue dissolved in hot H<sub>2</sub>O, and insoluble materials filtered off. The aq. sol was passed through a column of Amberlite IR 120 (H<sup>+</sup> form), which was then washed thoroughly with H<sub>2</sub>O. The effluent was applied to a column of Amberlite IRA 410 (acetate form). The organic acids adsorbed to the resin were

then eluted with 2 N HOAc; the eluate was conc *in vacuo*, and the concentrate dissolved in a small amount of H<sub>2</sub>O. The organic acid fraction thus obtained was streaked as a line on Whatman No. 1 paper, which was developed with *sec*-BuOH–EtOAc–HOAc–HCOOH–H<sub>2</sub>O (35:35:8:2:20). The bands corresponding to shikimic (*R<sub>f</sub>* 0.51) and quinic (*R<sub>f</sub>* 0.30) were cut out, and the acids were extracted with 50% aq. EtOH and H<sub>2</sub>O, successively. The amounts were determined spectrophotometrically, by the methods described previously [2].

*Acknowledgements*—The authors thank Dr. M. Ono of Makino Herbarium, Tokyo Metropolitan University for providing plant materials and also Prof. M. Hasegawa for his criticism.

#### REFERENCES

1. Yoshida, S. (1969) *Ann. Rev. Plant. Physiol.* **20**, 41.
2. Minamikawa, T. and Yoshida, S. (1972) *Bot. Mag. Tokyo* **85**, 153.
3. Hasegawa, M., Yoshida, S. and Nakagawa, T. (1954) *Kagaku (Japan)* **24**, 421.
4. Boudet, A. (1973) *Phytochemistry* **12**, 363.